

Management Compensation System 2021+ Methodology ESG Targets



January 2023, Bad Homburg

General Background

ESG targets in the Management Board compensation system

- The compensation system for the Management Board members ("MB members") of Fresenius SE & Co. KGaA has been adapted considering the recommendations of the new German Corporate Governance Code 2019 ("GCGC"), regulations of the Shareholders' Rights Directive ("ARUG II") as well as investors' feedback
- The compensation system includes ESG targets for the STI 2021 and 2022. ESG targets are weighted with 15% of the STI.
- The ESG targets relate to the five ESG focus areas



- For the years 2021 and 2022 qualitative annual targets are defined for each ESG focus area
- The ESG target achievement is measured at Fresenius Group level to ensure close cooperation between the business segments
- The management compensation system was approved at the <u>Company's AGM on May 21, 2021</u>

2

General Background

Management Board compensation drives ESG performance and strategy set-up



ESG Focus Areas

Selection based on materiality analysis 2020

2020 materiality analysis results



4

ESG Targets 2021 & 2022

Corresponding management concept elements



The STI Targets 2021 and 2022 for the focus areas Quality, Employees and Innovation can be mapped to corresponding management concept elements, widely based on the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) Standard 103. GRI is an accepted sustainability reporting standard and can be used as a common reference. The following methodology describes the ESG target requirements in more detail. Where applicable, references to the GRI Standards 103 are made. The focus areas Compliance and Environment follow an adapted approach.

Key topics / KPIs identified

- Identification of 1-3 most relevant topics and corresponding KPIs per ESG focus area at segment level
- Alignment with harmonized Group KPI
 / Group index per ESG focus area

Management concept defined

- Development of management and reporting concept per ESG focus area
- Concept to follow internal and external standards*, including:
 - Responsibilities
 - Policies & reporting processes
 - Internal control system

Presented & MB sign-off achieved

- Quarterly Management Board
 update on progress towards target
 achievement of target 1 and 2
- Final presentation of concept (outcome of target 1 and 2) to MB and sign-off by Management Board

* Minimum components will be defined by FRE, e.g. based on internal business process standards of the segment and external reporting standards such as GRI, SASB.

ESG Targets 2021

Environment

Data quality & transparency improvement

- Improvement of data quality of CO₂ emissions scope 1 & 2 and water management, following accepted standards*
- Identification of material scope 3 emission categories at business segment level
- Increase internal reporting frequency to quarterly reporting

Short-/long-term targets defined

- Development of short- and longterm performance targets for CO₂ emissions
- Alignment of segment targets with
 Group target ambition
- Perform regular climate and water
 risk assessment

Presented & MB sign-off achieved

- Quarterly Management Board update on progress towards target achievement of target 1 and 2
- Final presentation of carbon and water footprint and target concept (outcome of target 1 and 2) to MB and sign off by Management Board

* e.g. GRI, GHG Protocol, Task Force on Climate Related Financial Disclosure (TCFD)

Compliance Milestones: Using Compliance Management System Reporting as a solid basis

Key topics / KPIs identified

- Use Compliance Management
 System Reporting (CMSR) as basis
- Overall goal to continuously improve
 CMSR scores over time
- Specific business segment target setting based on CMSR with FSE CCOM

Management concept defined

 CMSR methodology serves as framework for continuous development of Compliance management approach
 CMSR methodology following internal and external standards*, including:

 Policies & Guidelines
 Processes & Controls
 Training & Communication
 Monitoring

Presented & MB sign-off achieved

- Quarterly Management Board update on progress towards target achievement of step 1 and 2
- Final presentation of concept (outcome of step 1 and 2) to MB and sign-off by Management Board

* CMSR is based on ISO-Norms for CMS set-up, IDW PS980, DoJ Guidance and other relevant external standards as well as internal minimum Compliance standards for the group

ESG Targets 2022

Requirements for focus topics Quality, Employees, Innovation, Compliance and Environment

Quality, Employees, Innovation :

Concept rolled out & quarterly reporting established

- Roll-out of management concept and KPI reporting processes per ESG focus area in business segments
- Quarterly KPI reporting to FRE MBM

Short-/long-term targets defined

- Back-testing of KPI performance over at least 3 years where possible
- Development of short- & long-term performance targets per relevant KPI, including ESG LTIP targets
- Alignment of segment targets with
 Group performance ambition

Strategic communication

- Sharpening/development of capital markets ESG narrative geared towards new ESG target picture
- Alignment of ESG narrative with
 Group sustainability narrative

Compliance, Environment:

- For the focus topic Compliance, three individual targets were defined for each of the business segments on the basis of the Compliance Management System Reporting (CMSR)
- For the focus topic Environment, the participation for the business segments in the Group-wide working group on the implementation of the 2030 climate target is mandatory

ESG Target Achievement Scoring

Overall scoring logic for Fresenius Kabi, Fresenius Helios & Fresenius Vamed

The ESG target achievement scoring is based on a simple binary 0/1 scoring methodology. For each ESG target and ESG focus area 1 scoring point is achievable, in total 15 points per business segment and year.

ESG target ESG focus area	STI 2021			STI 2022			
	Target 1	Target 2	Target 3	Target 1	Target 2	Target 3	
Quality		0 1	0*/1	0 1	0 1	0 / 1	
Employees	0 / 1	0 [×] / 1	0*/1	0*/1	0 [×] / 1	0 1	
Innovation	0 1	0 [×] / 1	0*/1	0*/1	0 [×] / 1	0 1	
Compliance	0*/ 1	0 [×] / 1	0*/1	0*/1	0 [×] / 1		
Environment	0 ^k 1	0 1	0*/1	0×/ 1	0 1	0 [×] / 1 ′	
Σ Points	0-15 points				0-15 points		

ESG Target Achievement Scoring

Overall ESG target achievement calculation



Quality criteria for the ESG target scoring

Criteria are based on established quality characteristics

Quality Criteria

Accuracy refers to the accuracy of the provided information and evidence. The provided information and evidence should be clear, unambiguous and should provide sufficient detail to enable the target achievement evaluation.

To assess data accuracy the following guiding questions should be used:

- Check if the provided information and evidence has a sufficient degree of clarity and detail

Completeness refers to the completeness of information provided for the individual ESG target achievement. All necessary information should be provided and all input fields in the ESG target scoring template (excel) shall be completed. If no information is available, N/A shall be used.

To assess completeness the following guiding questions should be used:

- Check if all required fields in the excel template are filled
- Check if all ESG topic areas are covered (Quality, Employees, Innovation, Compliance, Environment)

Consistency refers to the consistent application of the ESG target scoring methodology across the Fresenius business segments Kabi, Helios and Vamed.

To assess data consistency the following guiding questions should be used:

- Check if the evidence provided for the individual ESG target requirement is in line with the methodology requirements
- Check if the information provider and validator received sufficient training on the methodology and rationales of the ESG target scoring

Validity refers to the validity of the provided information. To ensure valid information the business segments should involve internal experts for the respective ESG topic area and back-up the final target achievement by the required documented examples.

To assess data validity the following guiding questions should be used:

- Check if documented evidence for each target requirements is provided

Timeliness, refers to the actuality of the information provided in the ESG target scoring. All information should be gathered in the current year of the ESG target achievement, respectively 2021 and 2022.

To assess timeliness the following guiding questions should be used:

- Check if the information provided refers to the actual year

The information provider and validator have to perform documented quality controls on the provided information and evidence.

Quality controls will be documented in the ESG Target Scoring Excel File.

FRESENIUS

Safe Harbor Statement

This presentation contains forward-looking statements that are subject to various risks and uncertainties. Future results could differ materially from those described in these forward-looking statements due to certain factors, e.g. changes in business, economic and competitive conditions, regulatory reforms, results of clinical trials, foreign exchange rate fluctuations, uncertainties in litigation or investigative proceedings, the availability of financing and unforeseen impacts of international conflicts. Fresenius does not undertake any responsibility to update the forward-looking statements contained in this presentation.

13